

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Paters and Trademark Office Addraw COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. 30, 1409 Alcounts, Vingues 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004

| 08773,803 06/10/2001 Mm Ho Jung 35/03/537728 3762 410 92.025/64 EXAMMER MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 63/09 SEARS TOWER | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10 | APPLICATION NO | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
| MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 4300 SEARS TOWER 233 S. WACKER DRIVE ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBE ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBE | 09/878,803 | 06/11/2001 | Min Ho Jung | 30205/37328 | 3762 |
| 6300 SEARS TOWER 233 S. WACKER DRIVE ART UNIT PAFER NUMBE | 4343 | 7590 91/23/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| 233 S. WACKER DRIVE ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER | | | | THORNTON, YVETTE C | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60606 1732 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | CHICAGO, I | L 60606 | | 1752 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| * | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| | Advisory Action | 09/878,803 | JUNG ET AL. | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Yvette C. Thornton | 1752 | |
| HE REP herefore nal reject ondition | -The MAILING DATE of this communicate LY FILED 22 December 2003 FAILS T , further action by the applicant is requition under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be e for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice o ton (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 | O PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN red to avoid abandonment of this a sither: (1) a timely filed amendmen if Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a .114. | I CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE, application. A proper reply to a t which places the application in a timely filed Request for Continued | |
| | PERIOD | FOR REPLY [check either a) or b] | i) | |

 a) The period for repty expires 2 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for repty expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for repty expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any copy received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.

2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:

(a) It they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);

(b) I they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);

(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ______

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

7.

☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)
☐ will not be entered or b)
☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: .

Claim(s) objected to: 6 and 7.

Claim(s) rejected: 1,3 and 6-12.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

10. Other: _____

Swette vette Clarke Thornton Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1752

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No. 009/878,803

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment changes the scope of the independent claims and would therefore require further pearch and consideration.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the after final amendment has not been entered and therefore, has no bearing on patentiability The examiner notes that the proposed amendment would have been sufficient to overcome the objection of claims 67-set forth in the provious office action. The relegion of record is maintained,

The examiner can be reached via telephone at 571-272-1336 from Monday to Thursday from 8-6:30 pm